


## Analysis and Action taken Report:

### 1) STUDENT FEEDBACK ANALYSIS: Year wise - Consolidated


2014-15

S.No	Attributes					Total	Remarks
		Very Good 4	Good 3	Average 2	Poor 1		
1	Communication skills	22	109	45	1	177	
2	Interest generated by the teacher	36	81	60		177	
3	Ability to Integrate course Material with environment	26	96	52	3	177	
4	Timely coverage of Syllabus	51	85	36	5	177	
5	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	26	76	53	22	177	
6	Ability to design quizzes/Examinations/Assignments	29	81	62	5	177	
7	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	29	81	58	9	177	
8	Knowledge base of teacher	38	97	39	3	177	
9	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher	27	109	40	1	177	
10	Overall rating	43	125	9		177	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>940</b>	<b>454</b>	<b>49</b>		




## 2015-16

S.No	Attributes					Total	Remarks
		Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1		
1	Communication skills	32	105	41		178	
2	Interest generated by the teacher	25	92	61		178	
3	Ability to Integrate course Material with environment	29	102	46	1	178	
4	Timely coverage of Syllabus	37	85	55	1	178	
5	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	27	88	63		178	
6	Ability to design quizzes/Examinations/Assignments	23	91	63	1	178	
7	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	31	86	60	1	178	
8	Knowledge base of teacher	33	98	47		178	
9	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher	20	110	48		178	
10	Overall rating	51	98	29		178	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>308</b>	<b>955</b>	<b>513</b>	<b>4</b>		




## 2016-17

S.No	Attributes						Total	Remarks
		Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1			
1	Communication skills	15	118	21		154		
2	Interest generated by the teacher	15	76	62	1	154		
3	Ability to Integrate course Material with environment	15	92	44	3	154		
4	Timely coverage of Syllabus	32	86	35	1	154		
5	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	31	62	52	9	154		
6	Ability to design quizzes/Examinations/Assignments	12	79	61	2	154		
7	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	14	80	55	5	154		
8	Knowledge base of teacher	28	91	35		154		
9	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher	14	86	52	2	154		
10	Overall rating	26	111	16	1	154		
	<b>Total</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>881</b>	<b>433</b>	<b>24</b>			




## 2017-18

S.No	Attributes					Total	Remarks
		Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1		
1	Communication skills	14	134	23		171	
2	Interest generated by the teacher	16	64	89	2	171	
3	Ability to Integrate course Material with environment	12	105	50	4	171	
4	Timely coverage of Syllabus	33	89	49		171	
5	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	13	76	75	7	171	
6	Ability to design quizzes/Examinations/Assignments	10	103	52	6	171	
7	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	17	88	60	6	171	
8	Knowledge base of teacher	33	102	35	1	171	
9	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher	18	103	47	3	171	
10	Overall rating	35	112	24		171	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>976</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>29</b>		



## 2018-19

S.No	Attributes	Rate					Remarks
		Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1	Total	
1	Communication skills	23	88	5		116	
2	Interest generated by the teacher	34	59	23		116	
3	Ability to Integrate course Material with environment	40	61	15		116	
4	Timely coverage of Syllabus	50	50	16		116	
5	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	64	42	1	116	
6	Ability to design quizzes/Examinations/Assignments	29	63	23	1	116	
7	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	37	49	28	2	116	
8	Knowledge base of teacher	29	73	14		116	
9	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher	34	68	14		116	
10	Overall rating	39	77			116	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>652</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>4</b>		



There are 10 attributes given in the Feedback form.

The 1<sup>st</sup> attribute clearly indicates the communication skills are good and the teachers whose communication skills are not found are informed to focus on that. Instead of going one way teachers are asked to make the class students-centric. The application of the topics should more pronounce and the course material should be kept available.

The 4<sup>th</sup> attribute response clearly shows that the teachers who are slow with the coverage of syllabus should try to speed up and complete them giving student enough time for the preparation.

The 5<sup>th</sup> attribute clearly speaks about the non availability of large number of teachers after the completion of class.

There must be qualitative and quantitative improvement in assessment and in the conduct of co-curricular activities.

Through the activity seven students mention the feedback frequency should be increased. The quality of knowledge base of the teacher must be improved to cater the needs of the advance learners.


Through the teaching staff are committed and sincere the 9<sup>th</sup> attribute of the feedback demands better commitment.

The teachers against whom the feedback has scope for improvement should improve on the attributes and the overall rating clearly mention a robust attitude and quality of the teacher and the teachers are urged to maintain and improve where ever possible.

## 2) Alumni Feedback Analysis – Year wise - Consolidated


2014-15

S.No	Attributes	Rate					Remarks
		Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1	Total	
1	Your opinion about our college		30	10		40	
2	Infrastructure and Lab Facilities			29	11	40	
3	Faculty		40			40	
4	Canteen Facilities			30	10	40	
5	Library Facility		19	21		40	
6	Office Staff			40		40	
7	Hostel Facilities		40			40	
8	Admission procedure		25	15		40	
9	NCC/NSS activities		40			40	
10	Overall rating of the college	6	34			40	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>21</b>		



## 2015-16


S.No	Attributes						Remarks
		Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1	Total	
1	Your opinion about our college		30	18		48	
2	Infrastructure and Lab Facilities		17	31		48	
3	Faculty		31	17		48	
4	Canteen Facilities		18	30		48	
5	Library Facility		11	37		48	
6	Office Staff		38	10		48	
7	Hostel Facilities		20	28		48	
8	Admission procedure		15	33		48	
9	NCC/NSS activities		37	11		48	
10	Overall rating of the college	10	38			48	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>215</b>			






## 2016-17

S.No	Attributes	Rate					Remarks
		Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1	Total	
1	Your opinion about our college	6	15	14		35	
2	Infrastructure and Lab Facilities		17	18		35	
3	Faculty	7	13	15		35	
4	Canteen Facilities	3	24	8		35	
5	Library Facility		14	21		35	
6	Office Staff	4	20	11		35	
7	Hostel Facilities	7	19	9		35	
8	Admission procedure	2	19	14		35	
9	NCC/NSS activities	3	20	12		35	
10	Overall rating of the college	<b>3</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>13</b>		35	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>135</b>			




## 2017-18

S.No	Attributes	Rate					Remarks
		Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1	Total	
1	Your opinion about our college	7	25	33		65	
2	Infrastructure and Lab Facilities		29	36		65	
3	Faculty	15	34	16		65	
4	Canteen Facilities	8	40	17		65	
5	Library Facility	4	27	34		65	
6	Office Staff	9	41	15		65	
7	Hostel Facilities	10	38	17		65	
8	Admission procedure	3	30	32		65	
9	NCC/NSS activities	11	40	14		65	
10	Overall rating of the college	4	52	9		65	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>356</b>	<b>223</b>			



## 2018-19


S.No	Attributes					Total	Remarks
		Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1		
1	Your opinion about our college	22	26	12		60	
2	Infrastructure and Lab Facilities	8	33	19		60	
3	Faculty	25	11	24		60	
4	Canteen Facilities	22	18	20		60	
5	Library Facility	14	25	21		60	
6	Office Staff	28	11	21		60	
7	Hostel Facilities	20	13	27		60	
8	Admission procedure	11	20	29		60	
9	NCC/NSS activities	22	15	23		60	
10	Overall rating of the college	18	22	20		60	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>0</b>		



### 3) Parent Feedback Analysis and Action taken Report:


#### Parent Feedback Analysis - Year Wise - Consolidated 2014-15

S.No	Attributes						Remarks
		Very Good 4	Good 3	Average 2	Poor 1	Total	
1	Admission procedure	2	8	24	1	35	
2	Infrastructure and Lab Facilities	6	16	13		35	
3	Sports and Cultural Activities	8	14	13		35	
4	Canteen Facilities	5	19	11		35	
5	Library Facility	6	16	13		35	
6	Students Counselling and guidance	8	18	9		35	
7	Academic Discipline observed by the college	5	18	12		35	
8	NCC/NSS activities	5	17	13		35	
9	Overall rating of the college	10	16	9		35	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>1</b>		




## 2015-16

S.No	Attributes						Remarks
		Very Good 4	Good 3	Average 2	Poor 1	Total	
1	Admission procedure		43	12		55	
2	Infrastructure and Lab Facilities		21	34		55	
3	Sports and Cultural Activities	1	30	24		55	
4	Canteen Facilities		23	32		55	
5	Library Facility	2	37	16		55	
6	Students Counselling and guidance	1	35	19		55	
7	Academic Discipline observed by the college	1	35	19		55	
8	NCC/NSS activities	26	22	7		55	
9	Overall rating of the college		53	2		55	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>0</b>		




## 2016-17

S.No	Attributes						Remarks
		Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1	Total	
1	Admission procedure	1	36	3		40	
2	Infrastructure and Lab Facilities		21	19		40	
3	Sports and Cultural Activities		21	19		40	
4	Canteen Facilities		18	22		40	
5	Library Facility	2	36	2		40	
6	Students Counselling and guidance		20	20		40	
7	Academic Discipline observed by the college	2	27	11		40	
8	NCC/NSS activities	22	17	1		40	
9	Overall rating of the college					0	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>0</b>		




## 2017-18

S.No	Attributes						Total	Remarks
		Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1			
1	Admission procedure	5	39	1		45		
2	Infrastructure and Lab Facilities	2	15	28		45		
3	Sports and Cultural Activities	1	29	15		45		
4	Canteen Facilities	2	19	24		45		
5	Library Facility	11	33	1		45		
6	Students Counselling and guidance	2	22	21		45		
7	Academic Discipline observed by the college	15	27	3		45		
8	NCC/NSS activities	20	18	7		45		
9	Overall rating of the college		43	2		45		
	<b>Total</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>245</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>0</b>			



## 2018-19

S.No	Attributes						Total	Remarks
		Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1			
1	Admission procedure	2	56	17		75		
2	Infrastructure and Lab Facilities		36	39		75		
3	Sports and Cultural Activities		47	28		75		
4	Canteen Facilities	8	35	32		75		
5	Library Facility	1	47	27		75		
6	Students Counselling and guidance		41	34		75		
7	Academic Discipline observed by the college	2	44	29		75		
8	NCC/NSS activities	13	23	39		75		
9	Overall rating of the college		67	8		75		
	<b>Total</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>396</b>	<b>253</b>	<b>0</b>			





## Teachers Feedback Analysis 2018 – 19

No. of Samples:

	<u>Attributes</u>					Total
		Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1	
1	Recent advances in course are covered or not	04	10	-	0	14
2	Applicability or Social relevance	04	9	1	0	14
3	Library	05	7	2	0	14
4	Laboratory	05	6	3	0	14
5	Infrastructure	05	9	-	0	14
6	Sanitation	04	6	4	0	14
7	Hygiene - Swach Bharath	07	5	2	0	14
8	ICT Facilities	04	10	-	0	14
9	Faculty Support	10	3	1	0	14
10	Overall Rating	06	05	03	0	14
	<b>Total</b>	54	70	16	0	140

